IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION Before The Arbitration Tribunals of the American Arbitration Association

0

UBS AG, EXPORTERS INSURANCE COMPANY, LTD., ARAB BANKING CORPORATION, NATIONAL BANK OF ABU DHABI, and NATIONAL BANK OF OMAN,

Claimants,

-against-

LAKAH FUNDING LIMITED, HOLDING COMPANY
FOR FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS (LAKAH GROUP),
S.A.E., TRADING MEDICAL SYSTEM EGYPT,
S.A.E., MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, S.A.E.,
MEDEQUIP FOR TRADING AND CONTRACTING, S.A.E.,
TECHNOWAVE, S.A.E., LIFE CARE
TECHNOLOGY, S.A.E., ARAB STEEL FACTORY, S.A.E.,
RAMY LAKAH, and MICHEL LAKAH,

DEMAND FOR
ARBITRATION AND
STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Respondents.

1. Claimants, UBS AG ("UBS"), Exporters Insurance Company, Ltd. ("EIC"), Arab Banking Corporation ("ABC"), National Bank of Abu Dhabi ("NBAD"), and National Bank of Oman ("NBO"), demand arbitration of their claims against the Respondents, Lakah Funding Limited ("Lakah Funding"), Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group), S.A.E. ("HCFI"), Trading Medical System Egypt, S.A.E. ("TMSE"), Medical Technology, S.A.E. ("MedTech"), Medequip for Trading and Contracting, S.A.E. ("Medequip"), Technowave, S.A.E. ("Technowave"), Life Care Technology, S.A.E. ("Life Care"), Arab Steel Factory, S.A.E. ("ASF"), Ramy Lakah ("Ramy Lakah"), and Michel Lakah"), as set forth below.

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 2 of 57

0

0

## **Summary of the Claims**

- 2. On or about December 8, 1999, Lakah Funding (the "Issuer") issued US \$100,000,000 in Eurobonds, bearing interest at 12% per annum (payable semi-annually in arrears), and maturing on December 8, 2004 (the "Bonds" or "Lakah Eurobonds"). Performance of the Bond obligations, including payment of all amounts required in accordance with the Bond Transaction Documents (defined below), was unconditionally guaranteed jointly and severally by respondents HCFI (the parent of Lakah Funding), TMSE (a subsidiary of HCFI), Medequip (a subsidiary of HCFI) and ASF (a subsidiary of HCFI) (each a "Guarantor," and collectively, the "Guarantors") as primary obligors and not merely as sureties.
- 3. The Guarantors are Egyptian companies that are wholly controlled by respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, who are brothers. The Issuer is a special purpose vehicle formed in the British Virgin Islands, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Guarantor HCFI.
- 4. Claimants are holders of the Bonds in the following principal face amounts respectively: UBS (US \$17,420,000); EIC (US \$10,000,000); ABC (US \$10,000,000); NBAD (US \$5,000,000); and NBO (US\$ 5,000,000).
- 5. After initial interest payments were made on or about June 8, 2000 and December 8, 2000, no further payments of Bond interest, nor any payment of principal, nor any payment of any other kind, were made by the Issuer or any of the Guarantors. Therefore, the Issuer and the Guarantors are in default on their principal and interest payment obligations to the Claimants under the Bonds, amounting to more than US

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 3 of 57

0

\$70,000,000 through the Maturity Date, December 8, 2004 plus interest thereafter.

- 6. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah individually are parties to the Bond Transaction Documents, and have breached their respective covenants therein as well.
- 7. Furthermore, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, individually and in their capacities as officers and directors of the Guarantors, and in collusion with others including the Guarantors, had fraudulently induced the Claimants to acquire their respective bondholdings by means of material misrepresentations and omissions with regard to (a) the businesses, the assets, and the financial conditions of the Guarantors, as well as (b) HCFI's then present intention to sell its subsidiary, ASF, to a third party and the steps taken toward the implementation of that sale.
- 8. Furthermore, by not later than on or about February 16, 2000, little more than two months after their issuance of the Bonds, Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, in collusion with Respondents Guarantors HCFI and ASF, had completed the sale of ASF or of all of its operating assets to a third party, and the proceeds of the sale transaction were diverted from ASF and from HCFI.
- 9. Furthermore, in or about 2002, after the initial interest payment defaults and during the tenor of the Bonds (which matured in December 2004), respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah orchestrated the surreptitious evisceration of two other of the Guarantors -- TMSE and Medequip -- and the transfer without consideration of their respective assets and businesses to successor companies in Egypt and outside of Egypt, including Respondent MedTech (the recipient of the TMSE business and assets)

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 4 of 57

0

0

and Respondents Technowave and Life Care (the recipients of the Medequip business and assets) (together, the "Successor Companies"; each, a "Successor Company").

- 10. Furthermore, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah operated and conducted the businesses of the Issuer and the Guarantors, as well as of the Successor Companies, along with their other personal and "corporate" affairs, as personal business vehicles, without regard for corporate formalities; without distinguishing the respective interests and obligations of the Issuer, the Guarantors, the Successor Companies, their other companies, and their personal interests and obligations; using undercapitalized entities; and appropriating the assets of the various companies (including the Issuer's assets and the Guarantors' assets) at will.
- 11. Furthermore, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah diverted the ultimate proceeds of the Eurobond issue to purposes other than those described in the Bond Transaction Documents, including to their personal use.
- 12. In sum, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah have perpetrated a massive fraud on the international financial community, including the Claimants, using the Issuer and Guarantors as their instrumentalities; fraudulently induced the Claimants to purchase Lakah Eurobonds; caused a \$148,000,000 Eurobond default; misappropriated the Bond proceeds; breached their personal covenants to the Bondholders; and stripped the Guarantor companies of their businesses and assets to evade and thwart the rights of their creditors, including the Claimants. The Claimants thus and thereby were injured.
  - 13. Therefore, Claimants assert their claims against Ramy Lakah, Michel

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 5 of 57

0

Lakah, and the other Respondents herein, jointly and severally, for payment of the principal amounts of their Bonds through the Maturity Date (totaling US \$47,420,000); for payment of unpaid interest during the term of the Bonds through the Maturity Date (totaling US \$22,761,600); for payment of default interest at 12% per annum (in accordance with the terms of the Bond Transaction Documents) on the unpaid principal thereafter; for payment of pre-award interest on unpaid Bond interest; for payment of punitive damages for fraud and other intentional misconduct; and for reimbursement of their costs of collection of all amounts due pursuant to the Bonds (in accordance with the terms of the Bond Transaction Documents).

#### **The Parties**

- 14. USB AG ("UBS") is a Swiss corporation, having its head offices in Zurich and Basel, Switzerland, and having a branch in New York, among other places. UBS holds Bonds having a principal face amount totaling US\$17,420,000. It purchased its Bond holdings for value on or about December 8, 1999 (US\$3,420,000) and October 25, 2000 (US\$14,000,000).
- 15. Exporters Insurance Company, Ltd. ("EIC") is a corporation organized in Bermuda, having its registered seat in Hamilton, Bermuda. EIC holds Bonds having a principal face amount of US\$10,000,000. It purchased its Bond holding for value on or about December 31, 2001.
- 16. Arab Banking Corporation ("ABC") is a corporation organized in the Kingdom of Bahrain, having its head office in Manama, and having a branch in New York, among other places. ABC holds Bonds having a principal face amount of

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 6 of 57

0

US\$10,000,000. It purchased its Bond holding for value on or about January 18, 2000.

- 17. National Bank of Abu Dhabi ("NBAD") is a corporation organized in the United Arab Emirates, having its head office in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi and a branch in London, among other places. NBAD holds Bonds having a principal face amount of US\$5,000,000. It purchased its Bond holding for value on or about March 30, 2000.
- 18. National Bank of Oman ("NBO") is a corporation organized in the Sultanate of Oman, having its head office in Muscat. NBO holds Bonds in the principal face amount of US\$5,000,000. It purchased its Bond holding for value on or about March 30, 2000.
- 19. Respondent Lakah Funding Limited ("Lakah Funding") is the Issuer of the Bonds. Lakah Funding is a corporation organized in the British Virgin Islands, having its registered seat in Road Town, Tortola. Its corporate registry number is 347651. Its registered address is c/o CITCO B.V.I. Limited, CITCO Building, Wickhams Cay, Road Town, Tortola, B.V.I. Lakah Funding is a wholly-owned subsidiary of respondent Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group).
- 20. Respondent Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group)
  S.A.E. ("HCFI") is a Guarantor of the Bonds. It is referred to from time-to-time in the
  Bond Transaction Documents as the "Parent Guarantor" because it is the parent of the
  other three Guarantor companies -- respondents TMSE, Medequip, and ASF -- in
  addition to being the parent of the Issuer. HCFI is an Egyptian corporation, having its
  registered seat in Cairo, Egypt. It represents that its corporate registry number is
  318697 (Giza Governorate), and its registered address is 68 Merghany Street,

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 7 of 57

0

Heliopolis, Cairo. HCFI is not less than 70%-owned by respondents Ramy and Michel Lakah.

- 21. Trading Medical System Egypt, S.A.E. ("TMSE") is a Guarantor of the Bonds. It is referred to from time to time in the Bond Transaction Documents as a Subsidiary Guarantor. It is an Egyptian corporation having its registered seat in Cairo, Egypt. It represents that its corporate registry number is 286523 (Giza Governorate), and its registered address is 68 Merghany Street, Heliopolis, Cairo. TMSE is 97.6%-owned by Respondent HCFI.
- 22. Respondent Medical Technology, S.A.E. ("MedTech") is an Egyptian corporation, having its principal place of business in Cairo, Egypt. It is the successor of TMSE, having received the business and other assets of TMSE surreptitiously in or about 2002. Its corporate registry number is 8621 or 8671 (Giza Governorate). Its registered address is 16 El Khartoum Street, Cairo. MedTech is beneficially owned by, and is controlled by, Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah.
- 23. Respondent Medequip for Trading and Contracting, S.A.E. ("Medequip") is a Guarantor of the Bonds. It is referred to from time-to-time in the Bond Transaction Documents as a Subsidiary Guarantor. Medequip is an Egyptian corporation, having its principal place of business in Cairo, Egypt. It represents that its corporate registry number is 286521 (Giza Governorate), and its registered address is 68 Merghany Street, Heliopolis, Cairo. Medequip is 97.8%-owned by Respondent HCFI.
- 24. Respondent Technowave S.A.E. ("Technowave") is an Egyptian corporation, having its principal place of business in Cairo, Egypt. Technowave is a

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 8 of 57

0

successor of Medequip, having received most of the business and other assets of Medequip surreptitiously in or about 2002. Its corporate registry number is 8747 (Giza Governorate). Its registered address is 166 Al Hijaz Street, Cairo. Technowave is beneficially owned by, and is controlled by, Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah.

- 25. Respondent Life Care Technology S.A.E. ("Life Care") is an Egyptian corporation, having its principal place of business in Cairo, Egypt. Life Care is a successor of Medequip, having received the rest of the business and other assets of Medequip surreptitiously in or about 2002. Its corporate registry number is 3375 (Giza Governorate). Its registered address is 13 El Khalifa El Wathek Street, Nasr City, Cairo. Life Care is beneficially owned by, and is controlled by, Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah.
- 26. Respondent Arab Steel Factory, S.A.E. ("ASF") is a Guarantor of the Bonds. It is referred to from time to time in the Bond Transaction Documents as a Subsidiary Guarantor. ASF is an Egyptian corporation, having its head office in Cairo, Egypt. It represents that its corporate registry number is 556 (10th of Ramadan City), and that its address is 68 Merghany Street, Heliopolis, Cairo. Its registered address is 1 Moshir Ahmed Ismael Street, Cairo. ASF is 97.92%-owned by Respondent HCFI.
- 27. Respondent Ramy Lakah (a/k/a Ramy Raymond Lakah) is an individual citizen of Egypt and of France. His residential address is 21 Rue Galilée, Paris 16 eme, France. Ramy Lakah is the dominant and controlling figure in the Respondent companies and the other Lakah Group companies. He and his brother, respondent

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 9 of 57

0

0

Michel Lakah, together own and control at least 70% of the shares of HCFI, the Parent Guarantor, which in turn owns all or virtually all of the shares of the Issuer and the other Guarantors. He is also the beneficial and equitable owner of, and he controls, respondents MedTech, Technowave, and Life Care. Ramy Lakah is a party to and signatory of the Bond Indenture.

28. Respondent Michel Lakah (a/k/a Michel Raymond Lakah) is an individual citizen of Egypt, currently residing in Canada. His business address is 1176 Rue Bishop, Montréal, Québec H3G 2E3, Canada, where he owns and operates at least two companies, including LBIG Construction. His last reported residential address is 999 rue White, St. Laurent/Montréal, Québec H4M 2X8, Canada. Michel Lakah is the second dominant and controlling person with regard to all of the aforesaid Respondent companies. He and his brother, Respondent Ramy Lakah, together own and control at least 70% of the shares of HCFI, the Parent Guarantor, which in turn owns all or virtually all of the shares of the Issuer and the other Guarantors. He is also the beneficial and equitable owner of, and he controls, respondents MedTech, Technowave, and Life Care. Michel Lakah is a party to and signatory of the Bond Indenture.

## **Principal Bond Transaction Documents**

- 29. The relevant "Bond Transaction Documents" are as follows:
  - a. the Offering Circular, dated December 6, 1999, relative to the
     Bonds (the "OC") (see Exhibit "1");

the Regulation "S" Global Bond (see Exhibit "2"), including the
 Terms and Conditions of the Bonds ("TCB") (see Exhibit "3");

0

- c. the Guarantee, dated as of December 8, 1999, made jointly and severally by each of the Guarantors to and in favor of the Trustee for the benefit of the bondholders (see Exhibit "4"); and
- d. the Indenture, dated as of December 8, 1999, among the Issuer, the Guarantors, and The Bank of New York, acting through its principal corporate trust office in New York City, as Trustee (the "Trustee"), for the benefit of the bondholders, providing for the issuance of the Bonds (see Exhibit "5").

## **Principal Bond Transaction Terms**

- 30. The principal Bond transaction terms are as follows:
  - Bonds, in the total face amount of US\$100 million, were issued effective December 8, 1999 (the "Issuance Date") and matured on December 8, 2004 (the "Maturity Date"). (Global Bond at p.1; OC at p. 9; TCB §5(a).)
  - Interest at 12% per annum was payable semi-annually in arrears on June 8<sup>th</sup> and December 8<sup>th</sup> of each year beginning in 2000 and running through the Maturity Date in 2004. (TCB §4.)

 Failure to pay either interest or principal constitutes an Event of Default, (TCB §10(a); Indenture §401), in addition to a breach of contract.

- Default interest on any principal unpaid when due to accrue at 12% per annum. (TCB §4.)
- Bond holders are entitled to reimbursement from the Issuer of all
  costs and expenses incurred in connection with any Event of
  Default or in protecting or enforcing any right or remedy under the
  Bonds or the Indenture. (Indenture §1001.)
- The Guarantors bind themselves jointly and severally, irrevocably and unconditionally, as primary obligors (and not merely as sureties), for the benefit of the Holders of the Bonds, with regard to the payment of all amounts due with respect to the Bonds (whether principal, interest, or any other amounts), when and as due, as well as the prompt and full performance of any and all other obligations of the Issuer in respect of the Bonds and otherwise under the Indenture, etc. (Guarantee §§1(a), (2).)
- The Guarantors effectively waive all temporal limitations on their liabilities and other obligations under the Guarantee.
   (Guarantee §3.)
- Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, individually, covenant with the Holders of the Bonds not to compete with the businesses of the Guarantors or any other subsidiaries of the Parent

Guarantor, HCFI. (Indenture §1005(c)(ii); accord, TCB §11(b); Offering Circular at p. 79.)

0

- The Bonds, the Guarantee, and the Indenture are to be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of state of New York without reference to its choice of law principles. (TCB §19(a); Guarantee §14(a); Indenture §111(a).)
- Upon any consolidation, merger, conveyance or transfer in one or more transactions of all or substantially all of the properties or assets of any Guarantor to any other person or entity, the successor or transferee shall succeed to and be substituted for the relevant Guarantor, and shall be bound by every obligation under the Bonds and the Guarantee as if the successor had been named as the relevant Guarantor. (TCB §§14(b), 14(a).)

These principal terms are quoted in Appendix "1" hereto.

## **Arbitration Clauses**

31. Section 18(a) of the Terms and Conditions of the Bonds provides in pertinent part as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot;(a) Submission to Arbitration

<sup>&</sup>quot;[A]ny dispute or difference whatsoever between the Issuer or any Guarantor...and...a Holder of the Bonds arising out of or in connection with the Bonds, the Guarantee or the Indenture shall be finally settled by submission to arbitration by the American Arbitration Association under its Commercial Rules of Arbitration, as at the time in force, by a panel of three arbitrators appointed in accordance with such Rules."

- "(b) Terms of the Arbitration
  The place of the arbitration shall be New York, New York or
  London, England; the language of the arbitration shall be English;
  and the appointing authority shall be the American Arbitration
  Association."
- 32. Section 13 of the Guarantee provides in pertinent part as follows:
  - "(a) [A]ny dispute or difference whatsoever arising between any Guarantor and the...Holder...arising out of or in connection with this Guarantee, the Bonds or the Indenture shall be finally settled by submission to arbitration by the American Arbitration Association under its Commercial Rules of Arbitration, as at the time in force, by a panel of three arbitrators appointed in accordance with such Rules.
  - (b) The place of the arbitration shall be New York, New York or London, England; the language of the arbitration shall be English; and the appointing authority shall be the American Arbitration Association."
- 33. Correspondingly, Section 110 of the Indenture provides in pertinent part as follows:
  - "(a) [A]ny dispute or difference whatsoever arising between the Issuer or any Guarantor... and...a Holder of Bonds...arising out of or in connection with the Bonds, the Guarantee or this Indenture shall be finally settled by submission to arbitration by the American Arbitration Association under its Commercial Arbitration Rules, as at the time in force, by a panel of three arbitrators appointed in accordance with such rules."
  - (b) The place of the arbitration shall be New York, New York or London, England; the language of the arbitration shall be English; and the appointing authority shall be the American Arbitration Association."

## **Facts Common to All Claims**

- 34. The Bonds were issued on or about December 8, 1999 (the "Issuance Date"), and matured on December 8, 2004 (the "Maturity Date"). (See, Global Bond at p. 1; OC at p. 9; TCB §5(a).)
- 35. The Bonds were evidenced by a Regulation S Global Bond dated December 8, 1999 (the "Global Bond") (Exh. "2"), executed by Lakah Funding and, in the "PAYMENT GUARANTEED" section, by each of the Guarantors.
- 36. The Global Bond was accompanied by a Guarantee dated as of December 6, 1999 (the "Guarantee") (Exh. "4"), made jointly and severally by HCFI (as Parent Guarantor), Medequip, TMSE, and ASF (as Subsidiary Guarantors, and collectively with HCFI, as "Guarantors"), to and in favor of The Bank of New York, as Trustee, for itself and for the benefit of the holders of the Bonds. Section 1 of the Guarantee provides in pertinent part:
  - (a) Each of the Guarantors, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, HEREBY JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY, ABSOLUTELY, IRREVOCABLY AND UNCONDITIONALLY GUARANTEES to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Holders of the Bonds, the Trustee and the Agents, (i) prompt payment of all amounts due in respect of the Bonds (whether principal, interest or any other amounts) or otherwise under the Indenture or the Paying Agency Agreement, which are not paid by the Issuer as and when due, in the manner, place and currency provided for the Indenture and Conditions . . . and (ii) the prompt and full performance of any and all other obligations of the Issuer in respect of the Bonds and otherwise under the Indenture and Paying Agency Agreement on the terms specified therein. Each Guarantor further guarantees the prompt payment, as and when due, of all amounts payable under the Indenture or the Paying Agency Agreement by the Issuer to the Trustee or any Agent.

Section 2 of the Guarantee provides in pertinent part:

0

If the Issuer shall for any reason whatsoever fail to pay in full when and as due any amount (whether in respect of principal, interest, or otherwise) that may be owing by the Issuer in respect of the Bonds or otherwise under the Indenture, or the Issuer shall otherwise fail to perform any act, agreement, or covenant required to be performed by it under the Conditions or the Indenture, the Guarantors shall, so often as any such failure occurs, immediately pay such sum or amount to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Holders, and/or perform such act, agreement, or covenant, all without any demand, presentment, protest or notice of any kind whatsoever.

- 37. The Terms and Conditions of the Bonds (Exh. "3") comprise a part of or are incorporated by reference into the Global Bond (p. 1), the Guarantee (e.g., §§1, 2, 9) and the Indenture (e.g., §101, Art. 4, Exh. "A").
- 38. The Bond bears interest from and including December 8, 1999 at the rate of 12% per annum. Scheduled interest was payable semi-annually in arrears on June 8 and December 8 during each year from 2000 through 2004, the first such payment being due on June 8, 2000. (TCB §4; OC at p 10.)
- 39. The Issuer was obligated to redeem the Bonds at their principal amounts, together with all accrued but unpaid interest thereon, on December 8, 2004. (TCB §5(a); OC at p 9.)
- 40. The Issuer agreed to pay, before the opening of business two days prior to each payment date, an amount sufficient to pay the aggregate amount of principal, interest, and any other amount due in respect of the Bonds, and to make such payments unconditionally by credit transfer and in immediately available, freely transferable funds. (Indenture (Exh. "5") §§309(a)(i)-(ii).) The Issuer separately agreed to pay any amount due in respect of any Bond, other than in respect of its final

0

redemption, on the 15<sup>th</sup> calendar day prior to when that payment was due. (TCB § 6(b)).

- 41. Bond interest payments were made on or about June 8, 2000 and December 8, 2000, and were eventually received by the Claimants.
- 42. No Bond interest payment was made after the payment that was due in December 2000. This withholding of payment was improper.
- 43. No principal payment was made before, at, or after the Maturity Date (December 8, 2004). This withholding of payment was improper.
- 44. No payment of any kind has been made to satisfy any of the obligations to the Claimants in relation to the Bonds or the Guarantees after the payment of Bond interest that was due in December 2000. This withholding of payment was improper.
- 45. If payment of any Bond principal is improperly withheld or refused, interest continues to accrue on the unpaid principal at the rate of 12% per annum until all such sums are paid. (TCB §4.)
- 46. Any failure to pay when due of either interest or principal in relation to the Bonds constitutes an Event of Default. (TCB §10(a).)
- 47. The Issuer agreed "to be responsible for and to reimburse Holders for any costs or expenses (including court and collection fees, if any, in Egypt) incurred in connection with the occurrence and continuance of an Event of Default or in protecting or enforcing any of their rights or remedies under [the] Indenture or Bonds." (Indenture §1001.)

- 48. It was anticipated that purchasers of the Bonds would hold their Bond interests in custodial accounts with DTC, Euroclear, Clearstream, Cedelbank, or the like. (See, e.g., TCB §25(b); Indenture §407(b); OC at pp. 104-110.)
- 49. Each of the Claimants holds its Bond interest in a custodial account or accounts with Euroclear or Clearstream or Cedelbank, etc.
- 50. The Bonds were offered and marketed to the international financial community, including the Claimants, primarily through the Offering Circular, dated December 6, 1999 (Exh. "1").

#### 51. The OC states:

0

The Issuer and Guarantors, having made all reasonable inquiries, confirm that this Offering Circular contains or incorporates all information with respect to the Issuer, the Guarantors, the Lakah Holding Company and its Subsidiaries (as defined below) taken as a whole (the "Lakah Group"), the Bonds and the Guarantee, which is material in the context of the issue and offering of the Bonds and the Guarantee; that such information is true and accurate in all material respects and is not misleading in any material respect; that the opinions and intentions expressed in this Offering Circular with regard to such information are honestly held; and that there are no other facts the omission of which would make this Offering Circular as a whole or any such information or the expression of any such opinions or intentions misleading in any material respect . . . ." (Exh. "1", p. 2)

These representations by the Issuer and the Guarantors were false and misleading.

52. The OC purports to contain complete and accurate descriptions of the business, financial condition, and recent performance of each of the Issuer, the Guarantors, and the other subsidiaries of Guarantor HCFI. In fact, Respondents made numerous material misrepresentations in the OC, and withheld various material information from the OC.

- 53. Such material misrepresentations and omissions in and from the Offering Circular included:
  - inflated financials of the various Guarantor companies, including
     misrepresentations concerning their assets, sales, and profits;
  - overstated assets of the Parent Guarantor, HCFI, based on unlawful manipulative trading in the stocks of its subsidiaries to boost their prices;
  - inclusion of unconsummated (indeed, never consummated) "sales"
     to an Egyptian Government agency as if they were fully performed;
  - d. overstatements concerning the assets, businesses, and performances of non-Guarantor subsidiaries of HCFI;
  - e. overstatements of the scope and size of the assets, business, and business results of Guarantor TMSE;
  - f. overstatement of the business of Guarantor Medequip;
  - g. overstatement of the value of Guarantor ASF; and
  - failure to disclose the then present intention and plan to sell all or substantially all of the operating assets of, or to sell as a whole,
     Guarantor ASF in short order.

- 54. Respondents also engaged in conduct after the Eurobond issuance in furtherance of their fraud upon the Claimants, to evade their debts to the Claimants, and to interfere with the Guarantors' performance of their agreements with the Claimants.
- 55. Not later than on or about February 16, 2000, little more than two months after issuance of the Bonds, Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, in collusion with Respondents Guarantors HCFI and ASF, completed the sale of ASF or of all of its operating assets to a third party, and the proceeds of the sales transaction were diverted from ASF and from HCFI.
- 56. In or about 2002, Respondents surreptitiously caused all of the assets and business operations of TMSE to be transferred to MedTech. MedTech gave no consideration in return. Medtech is a successor to TMSE. Medtech is beneficially and equitably owned and is controlled by respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah.
- 57. In or about 2002, Respondents surreptitiously caused all of the assets and business operations of Medequip to be transferred to Life Care and Technowave. Technowave and Life Care gave no consideration in return. Technowave and Life Care are successors to Medequip. Technowave and Life Care are each beneficially owned and are controlled by respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah.
- 58. MedTech, Life Care, and Techowave are the corporate successors of TMSE and Medequip. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah directly or indirectly control all five of these companies. They directed the transfer of the assets and business operations from two Guarantor companies to three non-Guarantor companies.

As a result, TMSE and Medequip became shell corporations incapable of fulfilling their obligations as Guarantors to the Claimants.

- 59. As at December 6, 1999, "Messrs. Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah owned approximately 70 per cent. of the outstanding shares of the Lakah Holding Company [HCFI]. These shareholders are able to exert substantial control over the Lakah Holding Company [HCFI] and, as long as they directly or indirectly own such shares, they will have the ability to elect all of the Lakah Holding Company's directors, to cast a majority of the votes with respect to virtually all matters submitted to a vote of Lakah Holding Company's shareholders and to prevent a change in the control of the Lakah Holding Company." OC at 16. "The Lakah family remains firmly in control of the Lakah Group, with Ramy Lakah owning 50% of the shares and Michel Lakah owning 40%." www.lakah-group.com/main.htm.
- 60. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, each and together, dominated and controlled, and continue to dominate and control, each and all of the Guarantors, the Issuer, the other subsidiaries of Guarantor HCFI, and the Successor Companies. Among other things:
  - they dominated and controlled the decision-making of the Issuer,
     the Guarantors and the Successor Companies;
  - they caused the intermingling and transfer of funds and other
     assets between and among different corporations under their
     control, including the Respondent Corporations, and between those
     Corporations and themselves;

c. they arbitrarily transferred without consideration the assets of TMSE and Medequip to three new and unrelated companies -- MedTech, Life Care and Technowave -- under their control;

- d. they arbitrarily sold off all of the operating assets of Guarantor ASF, or that company as a whole, barely two months after issuance of the Bonds, and then diverted or willingly acquiesced in the diversion of the proceeds of that sale away from ASF and HCFI, and hence away from the Claimants;
- e. they caused the Respondent-Guarantors to be undercapitalized;
- f. the Respondent-Guarantor corporations rarely if ever held meetings of their boards of directors;
- Respondent HCFI rarely if ever conducted shareholder meetings;
   and
- h. they diverted the proceeds of the Bonds for their own use and for use in other businesses unrelated to those of the Guarantors, including (i) to finance Ramy Lakah's political campaign for election to the Egyptian Parliament; (ii) to finance other ailing companies under their control that were not subsidiaries of HCFI; and (iii) to maintain their lavish lifestyles.
- 61. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah used their control of HCFI and the other Respondents to, among other things, fraudulently induce the Claimants to

purchase their Bonds, and to deprive the Claimants of the means of collecting the funds owed to them.

## First Claim (Breach of Contract Against Lakah Funding, as Issuer)

- 62. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 63. The Global Bond (including the TCB) is a valid and binding obligation of Lakah Funding to the Claimants.
- 64. The Indenture is a valid and binding contract entered into by Lakah Funding for the benefit of the Claimants.
- 65. Lakah Funding, the Issuer of the Bonds, has failed to make any of the Bond interest payments that were due on or about June 8, 2001 (for the period beginning December 9, 2000) and thereafter.
- 66. Lakah Funding has failed to make any payment of any portion of the principal amount of \$100,000,000 that was due on December 8, 2004.
- 67. Lakah Funding has failed to make any other payment of funds that are due to the Claimants pursuant to the Bond.
- 68. Lakah Funding has breached the payment terms of the Bond and of the Indenture.
- 69. Lakah Funding's aforesaid breaches have caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award in their favor of compensatory damages of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

70. Furthermore, Lakah Funding is obligated (see, Indenture §1001) to reimburse Claimants for all costs and expenses, including the costs and legal fees in this arbitration, incurred by Claimants in enforcing their rights under the Bonds and the Indenture. Lakah Funding has failed to do so.

# Second Claim (Breach of Contract Against HCFI, TMSE, Medequip, and ASF, as Guarantors, and Against MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave, as Successors to the Guarantors)

- 71. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 72. The Guarantee is a valid and binding undertaking and agreement between the Guarantors (HCFI, TMSE, Medequip, and ASF) and the Trustee for the benefit of the Claimants.
- 73. The Issuer defaulted on all interest and principal payments due from June 8, 2001 through December 8, 2004.
- 74. The Guarantors were and are jointly and severally liable and obligated to make those payments, as well as any other payments required in relation to the Bonds. (See, Guarantee §§1, 2.)
- 75. The Guarantors have each and all failed to make any of the interest payments that were due on or about June 8, 2001 (for the period beginning December 9, 2000) and thereafter.
- 76. The Guarantors have each and all failed to pay any portion of the principal amount that was due on December 8, 2004.

- 77. The Guarantors have each and all failed to pay any of the other payments required in relation to the Bonds.
- 78. The Guarantors are each and all in breach of the terms of the Guarantee relative to the Claimants.
- 79. The Guarantors' breaches of the Guarantee have caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award in their favor and against the Guarantors, jointly and severally, of compensatory damages of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.
- 80. MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave are the successors to Guarantors TMSE and Medequip, and have assumed or are deemed to have assumed the obligations and liabilities of TMSE and Medequip under the Guarantee.
- 81. Claimants are entitled to an award of damages in their favor and against the Successor Companies, jointly and severally, in the same amounts as against the predecessor companies, Guarantors TMSE and Medequip.
- 82. Furthermore, the Guarantors and the Successor Companies are obligated (see, Indenture §§1001) to reimburse Claimants for all costs and expenses, including the costs and legal fees in this arbitration, incurred by Claimants in enforcing their rights under the Bonds and the Indenture. They have failed to do so.
- 83. Claimants are entitled to an award of damages in their favor, and against the Guarantors and the Successor Companies, jointly and severally, in the amount of all such costs and expenses incurred by Claimants in enforcing their rights under the Bonds and the Indenture.

0

**Third Claim** 

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Against HCFI, TMSE, and Medequip, as Guarantors, and Against MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave, as Successors to the Guarantors)

- 84. Claimants restate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 85. The Guarantee, which is governed by New York law, has an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 86. When TMSE and Medequip transferred all of their assets to the Successors Companies for no consideration, TMSE and Medequip became worthless shell corporations incapable of fulfilling their obligations as Guarantors.
- 87. TMSE and Medequip thus acted in bad faith for the purpose of depriving the Claimants of any possibility of being repaid, and thereby breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing contained in the Guarantee.
- 88. HCFI, the virtual sole shareholder of TMSE and Medequip, also breached the implied covenant by authorizing the foregoing transfer of its subsidiaries' assets.
- 89. TMSE's, Medequip's and HCFI's breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing has caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award in their favor and against the aforesaid Guarantors, jointly and severally, of compensatory damages of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.
- 90. MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave succeeded to all of TMSE's and Medequip's obligations and liabilities under the Guarantee, and thus have or are

deemed to have breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing contained in the Guarantee to the same extent as TMSE and Medequip.

91. Such breaches have caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award of damages in their favor and against the Successor Companies in the same manner and amount as against their predecessors, TMSE and Medequip.

## Fourth Claim (Breach of Contract Against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah -- Piercing the Corporate Veil of HCFI, etc.)

- 92. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 93. As of December 6, 1999, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah together owned not less than 70% of the shares of HCFI. Moreover, they controlled additional shares of HCFI.
- 94. HCFI, in turn, owned 97.6% of the shares of TMSE, 97.8% of the shares of Medequip, 97.92% of the shares of ASF, and 100% of the shares of Lakah Funding.
- 95. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah dominated and controlled HCFI (as well as the other Guarantors, and the Successor Companies), and operated HCFI as a personal business vehicle without respect for corporate formalities and without distinguishing between the respective obligations of HCFI, the other Guarantors, the Successor Companies, their other companies, and themselves.
- 96. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah exercised complete domination and control over HCFI, etc., and used HCFI, the other Guarantors, and the Successor Companies as devices for committing frauds on the Bondholders.

- 97. HCFI's dominating and controlling shareholders, Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, are liable for the obligations and liabilities of HCFI and of the other Guarantors, including for their breaches of the Guarantee.
- 98. Those breaches of the Guarantee warrant an award against respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, jointly and severally, and in favor of the Claimants in an amount of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

#### Fifth Claim

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah -- Piercing the Corporate Veil of HCFI, etc.)

- 99. Claimants restate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 100. TMSE and Medequip breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the Guarantee when they transferred their assets and business operations to the Successor entities. HCFI breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the Guarantee when it directed and/or authorized those transfers.
- 101. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, as the dominating and controlling shareholders of HCFI, and in turn of TMSE and Medequip, are personally liable for the breaches by HCFI, and by TMSE and Medequip, of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the Guarantee.
- 102. Those breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the Guarantee caused injury to the Claimants, and warrant an award in their favor and

against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, jointly and severally, in an amount not less than US\$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

## Sixth Claim (Breach of Contract Against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah)

- 103. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 104. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah individually agreed for the benefit of the Claimants that so long as any Bond remains outstanding they each:

will not directly or indirectly own or control more than 35 per cent. of the Capital Stock of, or otherwise exercise control of or assume any managerial or executive position in, any company or other entity (other than any Subsidiary in existence on the Issue Date . . .) conducting any of the following activities in Egypt in competition with any of the subsidiaries: (A) the distribution, sale or leasing of medical equipment and supplies; (B) the construction, sale and leasing of medical facilities; or (C) the management and servicing of hospitals and medical centers.

(See, Indenture §1005(c)(i); OC at p. 79; TCB §11(b).)

- 105. But for this covenant, none of the Claimants would have purchased an interest in the Bonds.
- Toshiba Middle East which, to the present day, has engaged in Egypt in the businesses of distribution, sales and leasing of medical equipment and supplies. Toshiba Middle East was not and is not a "Subsidiary" as defined in the Bond Transaction Documents. Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah each directly or indirectly has, since its inception, owned or controlled more than 35 per cent. of the Capital Stock of, or exercised control of and/or assumed managerial or executive positions in, Toshiba Middle East.

- 107. Beginning not later than in or about 2002, after the initial Bond defaults and while the Bonds were still outstanding, Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah caused MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave (together, the "Successor Companies") to engage in Egypt in the businesses of distribution, sale, and leasing of medical equipment; construction and sale of medical facilities; and management and servicing of hospitals and medical centers.
- 108. The Successor Companies at all pertinent times have been and are beneficially and equitably owned and are controlled by the Lakahs. Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah each directly or indirectly has, since their inception, owned or controlled more than 35 per cent. of the Capital Stock of, or exercised control of and/or assumed managerial or executive positions in, each of the Successor Companies.
- 109. None of MedTech, Life Care, or Technowave (a) was in existence on December 8, 1999, the Issuance Date of the Bonds; or (b) was or is a Subsidiary as defined in Indenture §101.
- 110. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah have each breached their covenants set forth in section 1005(c)(i) of the Indenture.
- 111. The aforesaid breaches by respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah have caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award in their favor and against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, jointly and severally, of damages in an amount of not less than US\$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

0

**Seventh Claim** 

(Fraudulent Inducement Against HCFI, TMSE, Medequip, and ASF, as Guarantors, and Against MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave, as Successors to the Guarantors)

- 112. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 113. The Guarantors made numerous misrepresentations of material fact, and caused omissions of material fact that make the disclosed statements misleading, in the Offering Circular.
- 114. The Guarantors made such misrepresentations and omissions in the Offering Circular knowing that they were false and/or misleading.
- 115. The Guarantors made such misrepresentations and omissions with the intent to induce the Claimants to purchase Bonds.
- 116. The Claimants reasonably relied on the foregoing material misrepresentations and omissions in the Offering Circular when they decided to purchase the Bonds.
- 117. The Guarantors fraudulently induced the Claimants to purchase the Bonds.
- 118. Consequently, the Claimants have suffered injury, warranting an award in their favor and against the Guarantors, jointly and severally, of compensatory damages of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a specific amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

0

- 119. MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave, as the successors to TMSE and Medequip, have assumed or are deemed to have assumed the liabilities of TMSE and Medequip.
- 120. Consequently, Claimants are entitled to an award against MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave, jointly and severally, in the same amount as against TMSE and Medequip.

## <u>Eighth Claim</u> (Fraudulent Inducement Against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah -- Piercing the Corporate Veil of HCFI, etc.)

- 121. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 122. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah dominated and controlled HCFI and the Subsidiary Guarantors (TMSE, Medequip, and ASF), and misused these corporate entities to perpetrate a fraud upon the Claimants.
- 123. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah are personally liable for the material misrepresentations and omissions by HCFI and by the Subsidiary Guarantors, which fraudulently induced the Claimants to purchase Bonds.
- 124. Claimants have suffered injury, warranting an award in their favor of compensatory damages as against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, jointly and severally, of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

0

## Ninth Claim (Fraudulent Inducement Against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah)

- 125. Claimants restate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully incorporated herein.
- 126. Prior to and at the time of the issuance of the Bonds, respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah were directors and officers of HCFI, of TMSE, of Medequip, and of ASF.
- 127. In HCFI, for example, respondent Ramy Lakah held the position of Chairman and co-Chief Executive Officer, and respondent Michel Lakah held the position of Vice Chairman and co-Chief Executive Officer.
- 128. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah knowingly provided false and misleading information regarding the various Guarantors, including HCFI, to be included in the Offering Circular; withheld material information concerning the various Guarantors from the Offering Circular; authorized the issuance of the Offering Circular containing such false and misleading information and such material omissions; and certified the completeness and accuracy of the information in the Offering Circular that they knew to be inaccurate and incomplete.
- 129. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah acted thus with the intention of deceiving the Claimants and inducing them to purchase Bonds.
- 130. The Claimants respectively reasonably relied on the material misrepresentations and omissions in the Offering Circular when they decided to purchase the Bonds.

131. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah fraudulently induced the Claimants to purchase the Bonds.

132. Consequently, the Claimants suffered injury, warranting an award in their favor and against Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, jointly and severally, of compensatory damages of not less than \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

Tenth Claim
(Tortious Interference with Contract, Against MedTech, Life Care, Technowave, Ramy Lakah, and Michel Lakah)

- 133. Claimants restate and incorporate the allegations set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully stated herein.
- 134. The Guarantee is a valid and binding agreement by the Guarantors in favor of the Claimants as Bondholders.
- 135. Respondents MedTech, Life Care, and Technowave knew of the Guarantee and of the Global Bond. The Successor Companies were and are managed by the same or virtually all of the same executives as had managed the Guarantors Medequip and TMSE; and the Successors are controlled by the same persons as Medequip and TMSE, namely respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah. Thus, the Successor Companies possessed the same knowledge as Guarantors Medequip and TMSE.
- 136. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, acting as directors, officers, and controlling persons of HCFI and of its Subsidiary Guarantors, caused Medequip and TMSE surreptitiously to transfer all of their assets and business operations to the

Successor Companies without consideration, thereby turning Medequip and TMSE into shell corporations.

- 137. Respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah caused these transfers for the purpose of rendering Medequip, TMSE, and HCFI unable to fulfill their obligations as Guarantors, and to deprive the Claimants of solvent Guarantors against which they could enforce their rights.
- 138. Respondents Ramy Lakah, Michel Lakah, and the Successor Companies intentionally procured and caused Medequip and TMSE to breach the Guarantee beginning not later than in or about 2002 by causing the transfer without consideration of the assets and business operations of Medequip and TMSE to the Successor Companies, thereby rendering Medequip and TMSE incapable of satisfying their contractual obligations as Guarantors.
- 139. The aforesaid transfers also rendered Medequip's and TMSE's parent, HCFI, unable to satisfy its contractual obligations as a Guarantor.
- 140. The Successor Companies participated in the transfers of those assets and business operations in furtherance of the scheme, orchestrated by respondents Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah, to defraud the Claimants and to evade the just claims of the Claimants.
- 141. Such tortious interference with the performance of the Guarantee caused the Claimants to suffer injury, warranting an award in their favor and against Ramy Lakah, Michel Lakah, and the Successor Companies, jointly and severally, of

compensatory damages in excess of \$76,000,000 and of a precise amount to be determined at the hearing of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Claimants respectfully request that an award be made in their favor and against Respondents, jointly and severally.

A. With respect to each of <u>Claimants' Claims One through Six</u> (for breaches of contract):

Awarding to Claimants ratably,

0

- 1. the unpaid principal amount of US\$47,420,000; plus
- 2. unpaid Bond interest through the Maturity Date (December 8, 2004) of US\$22,761,600; plus
- 3. contractual default interest on the unpaid principal amount at 12% per annum from the Maturity Date (December 8, 2004) to the date of payment in full of all outstanding amounts under the Bonds; plus
- 4. pre-Award interest, accruing at the statutory interest rate of 9% per annum, see NY CPLR § 5004, on unpaid Bond interest payments from their respective due dates to the date of the Award herein; plus
- 5. reimbursement, in accordance with their contractual right, of the costs of recovery (including attorneys' fees) with regard to the defaulted payments of interest and principal under the Bonds.
  - B. With respect to each of <u>Claimants' Claims Seven through Nine</u> (for fraudulent inducement):

Awarding to Claimants, respectively,

1. their out-of-pocket costs to acquire their Bond holdings; plus

- 2. interest thereon at 9% per annum from the date of their respective expenditures to the date of the Award; minus
- 3. the interest payments received respectively by the Claimants on or about June 8, 2000 and December 8, 2000; plus
  - 4. punitive damages in the amount of US\$10,000,000.
  - C. With respect to <u>Claimants' Tenth Claim</u> (for tortious interference): Awarding to Claimants ratably,
    - 1. the unpaid principal amount of US\$47,420,000; plus
- 2. unpaid Bond interest through the Maturity Date (December 8, 2004) of US\$22,761,600; plus
- 3. contractual default interest on the unpaid principal amount at 12% per annum from the Maturity Date (December 8, 2004) to the date of payment in full of all outstanding amounts under the Bonds; plus
- 4. pre-Award interest, accruing at the statutory interest rate of 9% per annum, see NY CPLR § 5004, on unpaid Bond interest payments from their respective due dates to the date of the Award herein; plus
- 5. reimbursement, in accordance with their contractual right, of the costs of recovery (including attorneys' fees) with regard to the defaulted payments of interest and principal under the Bonds; plus
  - 6. punitive damages in the amount of US\$10,000,000.

Filed 04/17/2007 Document 4-5 Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC

#### With respect to All Claims: D.

- 1. Awarding to the Claimants their costs and disbursements, including reasonable attorneys' fees, of this arbitration; and
- Awarding to the Claimants such actual expenses, costs, and 2. disbursements, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as Claimants shall incur in enforcing any Award herein against Respondents, and retaining jurisdiction over the parties until such payment of this Award is made in full.

Dated: New York, New York

0

June 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS. GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.

By:

Gilbert A. Samberb

0

Attorneys for the Claimants

The Chrysler Center

666 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 935-3000

Telecopier: (212) 983-3115

#### Service List

LAKAH FUNDING LIMITED c/o CITCO B.V.I. Limited CITCO Building Wickhams Cay P.O. Box 662 Road Town, Tortola British Virgin Islands

0

LAKAH FUNDING LIMITED c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 1133 Avenue of the Americas Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036-6710

LAKAH FUNDING LIMITED c/o THE BANK OF NEW YORK 101 Barclay Street (Floor 21W) New York, NY 10286 Attn: Corporate Trust Administration

HOLDING COMPANY FOR FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS (LAKAH GROUP), S.A.E. 68 El Merghany Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT

HOLDING COMPANY FOR FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS (LAKAH GROUP), S.A.E. c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 1133 Avenue of the Americas Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036-6710

HOLDING COMPANY FOR FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS (LAKAH GROUP), S.A.E. c/o THE BANK OF NEW YORK 101 Barclay Street ( Floor 21W) New York, NY 10286 Attn: Corporate Trust Administration

MEDEQUIP FOR TRADING AND CONTRACTING, S.A.E. c/o Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group), S.A.E. 68 El Merghany Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT

MEDEQUIP FOR TRADING AND CONTRACTING, S.A.E. c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 1133 Avenue of the Americas Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036-6710

0

MEDEQUIP FOR TRADING AND CONTRACTING, S.A.E. c/o THE BANK OF NEW YORK 101 Barclay Street ( Floor 21W) New York, NY 10286
Attn: Corporate Trust Administration

TRADING MEDICAL SYSTEM EGYPT, S.A.E. c/o Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group), S.A.E. 68 El Merghany Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT

TRADING MEDICAL SYSTEM EGYPT, S.A.E. c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 1133 Avenue of the Americas Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036-6710

TRADING MEDICAL SYSTEM EGYPT, S.A.E. c/o THE BANK OF NEW YORK 101 Barclay Street (Floor 21W) New York, NY 10286
Attn: Corporate Trust Administration

ARAB STEEL FACTORY, S.A.E. 1 Moshir Ahmed Ismael Street Cairo, Egypt

ARAB STEEL FACTORY, S.A.E. c/o Holding Company for Financial Investments (Lakah Group), S.A.E. 68 El Merghany Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT

ARAB STEEL FACTORY, S.A.E. c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 1133 Avenue of the Americas Suite 3100 New York, NY 10036-6710

ARAB STEEL FACTORY, S.A.E. c/o THE BANK OF NEW YORK 101 Barclay Street (Floor 21W) New York, NY 10286
Attn: Corporate Trust Administration

RAMY RAYMOND LAKAH 21 Rue Galilée Paris 16eme FRANCE

0

MICHEL LAKAH 999, Rue White (#701) St. Laurent, Quebec H4M 2X8 CANADA

MICHEL LAKAH c/o LBIG Construction 1176 Rue Bishop Montreal, Quebec H3G 2E3 CANADA

TECHNOWAVE, S.A.E. 166 Al Hijaz Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT

LIFE CARE TECHNOLOGY, S.A.E. 13 El-Khalifa El Wathek St. Nasr City, Cairo EGYPT

MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, S.A.E. 16 El Khartoum Street Heliopolis, Cairo EGYPT **UBS v. Lakah** 

Appendix "1"

0

#### **Principal Bond Transaction Terms**

# 1. Principal Bond Payment Obligations

"[T]he Issuer will redeem the Bonds at their principal amount together with all accrued but unpaid interest thereon on December 8, 2004 (the 'Maturity Date')."

"The Issuer's obligation to pay interest semi-annually prior to and to and including the Maturity Date is specified in Section 4 of the Terms and Conditions of the Bonds."

Terms and Conditions of the Bonds ("TCB") § 5(a).

#### 2. Bond Interest

"Each Bond bears interest from and including December 8, 1999 (the "Issue Date") at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears on June 8 and December 8, in each year the first such payment being due on June 8, 2000. ... In [the] event [that 'payment of principal is improperly withheld or refused' 'upon due presentation,'] [each Bond] shall continue to bear interest at such rate (both before and after judgment) until whichever is the earlier of (i) the day on which all sums due in respect of such Bond up to that day are received by or on behalf of the relevant Holder, and (ii) the day seven days after the Trustee or any Paying Agent has notified Holders of receipt all sums due in respect of all the Bonds up to that seventh day (except to the extent that there is failure in the subsequent payment to the relevant Holders under these Conditions). If interest is required to be calculated for a period of less than one year, it will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consistent with twelve months of 30 days each and, in the case of an incomplete month, the number of days elapsed."

TCB § 4.

- 1700 table

Triany obt

Bry, in Eco

unnunuanc

my of their

4

3. Events of Default. Among the Events of Default identified in the Terms and Conditions of the Bonds are the following:

> "(a)(i) Non-payment of Principal: any payment due in respect of principal of any Bond is not made on its due date (or if, in the opinion of the Trustee, any such failure is due to technical or administrative reasons alone, within three (3) Business Days of its due date); or

(ii) Non-payment of Interest: any payment of any interest due on any Bond is not made within five (5) days of its due date"....

TCB § 10(a). Accord, Indenture § 401.

4. Recovery by Holders from Issuer of Costs and Expenses

> "[T]he Issuer agrees to be responsible for and to reimburse Holders for any costs or expenses (including court and collection fees, if any, in Egypt) incurred [a] in connection with the occurrence and continuance of an Event of Default or [b] in protecting or enforcing any of their rights or remedies under this Indenture or the Bonds.

> > and the contract of the particle of the period

Living . He had hade 6, 2019

maid or action Indenture § 1001.

ng Decembra 5

per armun, jii

Bamber 3, Fallen

. 2000.

0

5. Obligations of the Guarantors

> Each of the Guarantors, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, HEREBY JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY, ABSOLUTELY, IRREVOCABLY AND UNCONDITIONALLY GUARANTEES to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Holders of the Bonds, ... (i) the prompt payment of all amounts due in respect of the Bonds (whether principal, interest or any other amounts) or otherwise under the Indenture or the Paying Agency Agreement, which are not paid by the Issuer as and when due, in the manner, place and currency provided for in the Indenture and the Conditions [i.e., the Terms and Conditions of the Bonds] whether on the Maturity Date, on any date scheduled for the payment of interest, on any date fixed for the redemption of the Bonds, on acceleration or otherwise, strictly in accordance with the Conditions and provisions of the Indenture, and (ii) the prompt and full performance of any and all other obligations of the Issuer in respect of the Bonds and

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 44 of 57

otherwise under the Indenture and the Paying Agency Agreement on the terms specified therein. ..."

0

such failur

THE SUPPLEMENT

Guarantee § 1(a).

0

"The foregoing Guarantee is an absolute, unconditional, present and continuing guarantee of payment and performance and not collectability and is in no way conditional or continent upon (a) any attempt to, and the obligations of each Guarantor hereunder shall not be affected in any way by the absence of any action to, collect from or proceed against the Issuer, any other Guarantor, any other guarantor or surety or any other Person, or any collateral security, ..or (c) any other condition or contingency, which might otherwise constitute a defense available to, or a discharge of, the Issuer in respect of the Bonds or the Indenture, or the Guarantors in respect of this Guarantee or the Indenture. If the Issuer shall for any reason whatsoever fail to pay in full when and as due any amount (whether in respect of principal, interest or otherwise) that may be owing by the Issuer in respect of the Bonds or otherwise under the Indenture, or the Issuer shall otherwise fail to perform any act, agreement or covenant required to be performed by it under the Conditions or the Indenture, the Guarantors shall, so often as any such failure occurs, immediately pay such sum or amount to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Holders, and/or perform such act, agreement or covenant, all without any demand, presentment, protest or notice of any kind whatsoever."

## Guarantee § 2.

normalise Normalise Normal

and contridion frees, if

egyppularience and

dansy in our pa Bondo.

Policy Transport

1000

"The liabilities and other obligations of the Guarantors under this Guarantee shall remain in full force and effect regardless of the lapse of time, any act, omission or course of dealing whatsoever on the part of the Trustee, any Holder, or any other Person or any other matter, event, circumstance or appearance whatsoever, other than indefeasible prior payment, performance and satisfaction in full of all the obligations guaranteed hereby in accordance with the Conditions and the terms of the Indenture, whether or not such Guarantor shall have any knowledge or notice thereof. ..."

# Guarantee § 3.

"The payment of all sums expressed to be payable by the Issuer under the Bonds when and as the same become due and payable,

O O O O Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 45 of 57

are jointly and severally, unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by the Guarantors pursuant to a guarantee dated as at December 8, 1999 (the "Guarantee") made by the Guarantors in favor of the Trustee for itself and the benefit of the Holders of the Bonds and the Agents. Each of the Guarantors has agreed to certain covenants contained in the Guarantee and the Indenture."

0

34

3.00

TCB § 1(b).

State And the second with

रेक्ट्रेस के दिल्ली केंद्रक एक

rikadi , ralikannika

Williams by the while I have

HER PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

0

# 6. Covenants of Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah

"Messrs. Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah have agreed in the Indenture that, so long as any of the Bonds remains Outstanding, they will not directly or indirectly own or control more than 35 per cent. of the share capital of, or otherwise exercise control of or assume any managerial or executive position in, any company or other entity (other than any Subsidiary in existence on the Issue Date, so long as the Lakah Holding Company and/or the Lakah Family together continue to own at least 35 per cent. of the Issued Shared Capital of, and to control each Subsidiary) conducting any of the following activities in Egypt in competition with any of the Subsidiaries: (i) the distribution, sale and leasing of medical equipment and supplies; (ii) the construction, sale and leasing of medical facilities; and (iii) the management and servicing of hospitals and medical centers."

Offering Circular at p.79.

"Lakah Family Undertaking. Messrs. Ramy Lakah and Michel Lakah hereby agree for the benefit of. . . the Holders, that, so long as any of the Bonds remains Outstanding, they will not directly or indirectly own or control more than 35 per cent. of the Capital Stock of, or otherwise exercise control of or assume any managerial or executive position in, any company or other entity (other than any Subsidiary in existence on the Issue Date, so long as the Parent Guarantor and/or the Lakah Family together continue to own at least 35 per cent. of the Issued Shared Capital of, and to control, such Subsidiary) conducting any of the following activities in Egypt in competition with any of the Subsidiaries: (A) the distribution, sale and leasing of medical equipment and supplies; (B) the construction, sale and leasing of medical facilities; or (C) the management and servicing of hospitals and medical centers."

Page 46 of 57 Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007

0

Indenture § 1005(c)(ii).

"Lakah Family Undertaking"

Mr. Ramy Lakah and Mr. Michel Lakah have agreed, for the benefit of. . . the Holders that, so long as any Bond remains Outstanding, they will not directly or indirectly own or control more than 35 per cent. of the Capital Stock of, or otherwise exercise control of or assume any managerial or executive position in, any company or other entity (other than any Subsidiary in existence on the Issue Date, so long as the Parent Guarantor and/or the Lakah Family together continue to own at least 35 per cent. of the Issued Shared Capital of, and to control, such Subsidiary) conducting any of the following activities in Egypt in competition with any of the Subsidiaries: (i) the distribution, sale and leasing of medical equipment and supplies; (ii) the construction, sale and leasing of medical facilities; or (iii) the management and servicing of hospitals and medical centers."

TCB § 11(b). 强制强烈 似的,一切有人人们

BROWN TO BE

in sach troops

意识为Win 多为对并不

Fagilialization and

#### and the state of the second of the second Choice of Law the State of the State of the

the first of the second of the "The Bonds are governed by, and shall be construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York, without reference to its choice of law principles."

The who has been been been and from the being the

Market 1. 强强强烈人名 电影 图 5-4

TCB § 19(a).

"This Guarantee and each of the Bonds are governed by, and shall be construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York, without reference to its choice of law principles."

Guarantee § 14(a).

"The Indenture and each of the Bonds are governed by, and shall be construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York without reference to its choice of law principles."

Indenture § 111(a).

# 8. <u>Obligations of Successor Companies</u>

"Effect of Consolidation, Merger Conveyance or Transfer

Upon any consolidation, merger conveyance or transfer in accordance with this Condition 14, the Successor Company shall succeed to and be substituted for, may exercise every right and power of, and shall be bound by every obligation of, the Issuer or the relevant Guarantor, as the case may be, under the Bonds and the Guarantee with the same effect as if the Successor Company had been named as the Issuer or Guarantor, as the case may be."

TCB §14(b).

- (a) Consolidation, Merger, Conveyance or Transfer
  Neither the Issuer nor any Guarantor shall, except as permitted by the
  Trustee or an Extraordinary Resolution (as defined in Condition 15(d)) of
  Holders, consolidate with or merge into any other Person or convey or
  transfer in one transaction or a series of transactions all or substantially all
  of its properties or assets to any other Person unless:
- (i) the Person formed by the consolidation or into which the Issuer or such Guarantor is merged or the Person that acquires by conveyance or transfer all or substantially all of the properties and assets of the Issuer or such Guarantor (the "Successor Company") agrees in writing to the obligation to make due and punctual payment of all amounts payable under the Bonds and the Guarantee (as the case may be) and all other obligations of the Issuer or such Guarantor (as the case may be) under the Bonds, the Guarantee and the Indenture;..."

TCB §14(a).

A true and accurate copy of Exhibit 1 to the Demand for Arbitration and Statement of Claim is annexed to the Samberg Declaration as Exhibit 6

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 50 of 57

A true and accurate copy of Exhibit 2 to the Demand for Arbitration and Statement of Claim is annexed to the Samberg Declaration as Exhibit 7

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 52 of 57

A true and accurate copy of Exhibit 3 to the Demand for Arbitration and Statement of Claim is annexed to the Samberg Declaration as Exhibit 8

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 54 of 57

A true and annexed copy of Exhibit 4 to the Demand for Arbitration and Statement of Claim is annexed to the Samberg Declaration as Exhibit 9

Case 1:07-cv-02799-MGC Document 4-5 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 56 of 57

A true and accurate copy of Exhibit 5 to the Demand for Arbitration and Statement of Claim is annexed to the Samberg Declaration as Exhibit 10